Correcting Selection Bias in Non-probability Two-Phase Payment Survey Heng Chen¹, John Tsang² ¹Bank of Canada, ²University of Ottawa

The 2020 Cash Alternative Survey Wave 2 (CASW2)

What is CASW2?

CASW2 collects information about cash holdings and Canadians' daily use of cash.

Two Phases in CASW2

- Phase 1: Survey Questionnaire (SQ)
- Phase 2: Diary Survey Instrument (DSI)

Figure 1. Every DSI-respondent answered the SQ **Target Population:** Canadian Adults

Phase 2: DSI S_{NP.2} Phase 1: SQ $S_{NP,1}$

Data Structures for Phase 1

Table 1. Augment the SQ with Prob. Sample CPSS 5

	Reference Dataset Prob. Sample (CPSS 5 from StatsCan)	Targe Phase (
	S _P		
Auxiliary variables x_1	\checkmark		
Study variables y_1	X		
Phase-1 weight w ₁	\checkmark		

Data Structures for Phase 2

Table 2. Augment the DSI with the SQ				
	Reference Datasets		Та	
	Probability Sample	Phase-1 SQ		
	S _P	S _{NP}	S _N	
Auxiliary variables z	X	\checkmark		
Auxiliary variables x_1	\checkmark	\checkmark		
Phase-1 study variables y_1	X	\checkmark		
Phase-2 study variables y_2	X	X		
Phase-1 weight w ₁	\checkmark	"√" (Estimated)	l	
Phase-2 weight w ₂	X			

Main Assumptions

• A1: Non-informative selection for both phases

A2: No hard-core non-participants

A3: Independent sample selection

• A4: Logistic models for selection probabilities



Phase 1 PML

(Benchmark)

Two-Phase PML

(with Cash

Holdings)



165

Raking

2.6 2 1.2

- $\operatorname{Var}[\hat{\mu}_{y_1}]$ and $\operatorname{Var}[\hat{\mu}_{y_2}]$.

References

- designs. *Survey Methodology*, *42*(2), 319-323.

This work is financially supported by the Bank of Canada and Mitacs through the Mitacs Accelerate program. The views expressed in this presentation are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the Bank of Canada. All remaining errors are solely the responsibility of the authors.

Use the customary variance formula to estimate

Follow the same principle as the reverse approach (Shao and Steel, 1999 and Haziza and Vallee, 2020) for variance estimation with non-response to approximate variance for Phase 2 under two-phase PML.

A5: Negligible phase-1 sampling fraction A6: Strong invariance property (Beaumont and Haziza, 2016): Phase-2 selection probabilities are determined by fixed respondent characteristics.

• Beaumont, J. F., and Haziza, D. (2016). A note on the concept of invariance in two-phase sampling

• Chen, Y., Li, P., and Wu, C. (2020). Doubly robust inference with non-probability survey samples. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 115, 2011-2021.

• Haziza, D., and Vallée, A. A. (2020). Variance estimation procedures in the presence of singly imputed survey data: a critical review. Japanese Journal of Statistics and Data Science, 3, 583-623. • Shao, J., and Steel, P. (1999). Variance estimation for survey data with composite imputation and nonnegligible sampling fractions. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 94(445), 254-265.